1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10   LAURIE A. DEUSCHEL,

11   Plaintiff,   No. CIV S-03-2072 GEB GGH PS

12   vs.

13   MICHAEL J. ASTRUE,   ORDER
     Commissioner of Social Security,

14
            Defendant.
15
     _____/
16

17   Pursuant to this court's order filed February 28, 2008, plaintiff has filed an

18   amended complaint seeking review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security

19   pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and defendant has filed an answer. The administrative transcript

20   was filed on May 22, 2008.

21   The case is assigned to the undersigned pursuant to E. D. Cal. L. R. 72-

22   302(c)(15), and is exempted from the mandatory scheduling order requirements of Fed. R. Civ.

23   P. 16(b) pursuant to E. D. Cal. L. R. 16-240(c)(1). Accordingly, unless good cause is shown

24   upon written motion, the case shall proceed on the following schedule:

25   1. Within 45 days of the filing date of this order, plaintiff shall serve and file a

26   motion for summary judgment and/or remand.

                                                    1

2. Within 30 days after the service of plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and/or remand, defendant shall file any opposition and/or motion for summary judgment.

3. Within 20 days thereafter, plaintiff's reply, if any, shall be filed.

4. The case will be submitted on the papers unless, within ten days after the time for filing a reply, a request for oral argument is filed. Requests shall identify issues and explain why oral argument would be helpful. The court will schedule a hearing if it determines oral argument would be of material assistance. E.D. Cal. L. R. 78-230(h).

5. Briefs shall clearly and separately set forth each issue, including separate headings and discussion.

So ordered.

DATED: 06/30/08

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows

GREGORY G. HOLLOWS
U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH5:Deuschel2072.sch